

## South East London Integrated Medicines Optimisation Committee

### Formulary recommendation

Reference	122
Intervention:	<b>Rivaroxaban and apixaban for the treatment of left ventricular thrombus (LVT) in adults (off-label use)</b> (Rivaroxaban and apixaban are anticoagulants)
Date of Decision:	<b>December 2020, March 2024 - category change approved from Red to Amber 2. Apixaban incorporated into recommendation 122. March 2025 – change in place of therapy from 2<sup>nd</sup> line to 1<sup>st</sup> line for the management of LVT in post myocardial infarction (MI) only.</b> Rivaroxaban and apixaban remain 2 <sup>nd</sup> line options for the management of LVT secondary to dilated cardiomyopathy or left ventricular dysfunction
Date of Issue:	<b>January 2021, May 2024 and re-issued April 2025</b>
Recommendation:	<b>Amber 2 – initiation and continued prescribing until initial review from the cardiology team, which is generally at 3 – 5 months</b>
Further Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rivaroxaban and apixaban are accepted for use in South East London as <b>anticoagulant treatment</b> options for patients with left ventricular thrombus (LVT) under the following criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Either rivaroxaban or apixaban may be considered as a <b>first line</b> option in LVT post MI + percutaneous intervention / in combination with dual antiplatelet therapy (aspirin and clopidogrel).</li> <li>(ii) Either rivaroxaban or apixaban may be considered as a <b>2<sup>nd</sup> line option</b> for patients with LVT secondary to dilated cardiomyopathy or left ventricular dysfunction who cannot tolerate warfarin or for those in whom warfarin is not felt to be safe (determined by the initiating clinician).</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Warfarin remains the 1<sup>st</sup> line anticoagulant</b> for the management of LVT secondary to dilated cardiomyopathy or left ventricular dysfunction.</li> <li><b>The Trust thrombosis teams must be involved in any decision to use rivaroxaban or apixaban for LVT.</b></li> <li>The usual treatment duration is 3 to 6 months, although some patients may require longer term treatment, which if suitable can be transferred to primary care for prescribing (see point below). Cardiology will determine when it is suitable to stop treatment based on resolution of LVT on cardiac imaging.</li> <li>The initiating specialist team will provide ongoing prescribing and supply of rivaroxaban or apixaban until the initial specialist review occurs. This is generally at 3 – 5 months. The review will enable the continued need for prescribing to be assessed. Those patients in whom longer term prescribing is clinically appropriate may be transferred to primary care at this point.</li> <li>Treatment with rivaroxaban or apixaban would be stopped/switched if: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Treatment not tolerated</li> <li>- Thromboembolic event whilst on anticoagulation</li> <li>- Major bleeding risk or risk of bleed outweighs benefit of anticoagulation</li> <li>- Renal function declines to &lt;30ml/min for rivaroxaban or &lt;15ml/min for apixaban (would require discussion with the thrombosis team).</li> </ul> </li> <li>As part of the risk management plan, local acute Trusts are required to ensure that there is robust governance in place at individual Trust level for the use of rivaroxaban and apixaban in LVT. This includes the applicant developing agreed standardised criteria for use of rivaroxaban and apixaban in this setting (detailed criteria for starting/stopping rivaroxaban or apixaban and how outcomes will be monitored over time). The agreed standardised criteria should be approved through the individual Trust Drug and Therapeutics Committees. It should be noted that rivaroxaban and apixaban are <b>not licensed (off-label)</b> for use in this setting. Informed consent should be gained from the patient before treatment is started.</li> <li>The off-label prescribing of rivaroxaban and apixaban in this setting should be discussed at each medication review to ensure patients are kept informed in relation to any changes in practice.</li> <li><b>March 2024:</b> Further evidence to support the recategorisation from Red to Amber 2 for rivaroxaban and apixaban in this setting was presented to the IMOC and a recategorisation to Amber 2 was approved. The criteria for use of rivaroxaban and apixaban in this setting are identical and, with approval from the JFC Chair, apixaban has been incorporated into this recommendation.</li> <li><b>March 2025:</b> Evidence to support a request to change the place in therapy from second line to first line for rivaroxaban and apixaban in the management of left ventricular thrombus in adults in LVT post myocardial infarction only was presented to the IMOC and approved.</li> </ul>
Shared Care/ Transfer of care required:	N/A

<b>Cost Impact for agreed patient group</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The applicant estimates that 70 patients per annum for SEL, which equates to ~4 patients per 100,000 population, might be suitable for treatment.</li> <li>Based on prevalence data, there are around 80,000 people in England admitted with MI per annum. Around 70% of these patients survive (56,000), and approximately 40% (22,000) go on to develop LVT. The majority of these will have warfarin, but approximately 20% (4,400) will require a DOAC. This equates to 8 patients per 100,000 population.</li> <li>Assuming between 4 – 8 patients per 100,000 population are treated for 6 months, this could result in a cost impact of between £1,080 to £2,176 per 100,000 population (or between £20K to 40K across SEL). Local audit data presented in March 2024 indicate that around 75% of patients may require longer term treatment (3 - 6 patients per 100,000 population). In year 1, this equates to ~£2,520 per 100,000 population (or £50K across SEL). It is assumed that the overall cost impact for subsequent years will be approximately 60% less (£920 per 100,00 population or 18.5K across SEL) by year 3 (steady state) due to generic implementation.</li> <li><b>March 2025:</b> An additional 27 patients per annum would be prescribed either rivaroxaban or apixaban for the management of LVT post MI instead of warfarin. Both rivaroxaban and apixaban are available as generic preparations and the additional cost impact from use in this setting across SEL is estimated to be negligible (less than £2,000 additional cost across SEL). This additional cost with rivaroxaban or apixaban may be offset by the costs associated with follow up appointments for drug monitoring with warfarin.</li> </ul>
<b>Usage Monitoring &amp; Impact Assessment</b>	<p><b>Acute Trusts:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monitor and audit usage and outcomes from use of rivaroxaban and apixaban in this setting (against this recommendation) and report back to the Committee if requested</li> </ul> <p><b>SEL Borough Medicines Optimisation Teams:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Monitor ePACT2 data and exception reports from GPs if inappropriate prescribing requests are made to primary care.</li> </ul>
<b>Evidence reviewed</b>	<p><b>References (from evidence evaluation of rivaroxaban. References for apixaban are available upon request</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>G YH Lip (2019), Left ventricular thrombus after acute myocardial infarction, UpToDate. Available <a href="#">here</a> [Accessed 03/08/2020]</li> <li>F Habash et al (2017), Challenges in management of left ventricular thrombus, Therapeutic Advances in Cardiovascular Disease. Available <a href="#">here</a> [Accessed 05/08/2020]</li> <li>R Delewi et al (2012), Left ventricular thrombus formation after acute myocardial infarction, BMJ. Available <a href="#">here</a> [Accessed 05/08/2020]</li> <li>PT Vaitkus et al (1993), Embolic potential, prevention and management of mural thrombus complicating anterior myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis, Journal of the American College of Cardiology. Available <a href="#">here</a> [Accessed 05/08/2020]</li> <li>NICE NG106 (2018) Chronic heart failure in adults: diagnosis and management. Available <a href="#">here</a> [Accessed 05/08/2020]</li> <li>NICE Pathways (2020) Preventing stroke in people with atrial fibrillation. Available <a href="#">here</a> [Accessed 26/08/2020]</li> <li>NICE Pathways (2020) Anticoagulation treatment for venous thromboembolism. Available <a href="#">here</a> [Accessed 26/08/2020]</li> <li>WN Kernan (2014), Guidelines for the Prevention of Stroke in Patients With Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack, American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Available <a href="#">here</a> [Accessed 05/08/2020]</li> <li>R Sedhom et al (2020), Use of Direct Oral Anticoagulants in the Treatment of Left Ventricular Thrombi, a Systematic Review. The American Journal of Medicine.</li> <li>AR Robinson et al (2020), Off-label Use of Direct Oral Anticoagulants Compared With Warfarin for Left Ventricular Thrombi. JAMA Cardiology.</li> <li>M Alizadeh et al (2019), The use of direct oral anti-coagulations (DOACs) compared to vitamin k antagonist in patients with left ventricular thrombus after acute myocardial infarction. European Heart Journal.</li> <li>A Yunis et al (2020), Direct Oral Anticoagulants are Effective Therapy in Treating Left Ventricular Thrombi. Journal of The American College of Cardiology</li> <li>H Iqbal et al (2020), Direct oral anticoagulants compared to vitamin K antagonist for the management of left ventricular thrombus. ESC Heart failure.</li> <li>British Heart Foundation (2020), England statistical factsheet. Available <a href="#">here</a> [Accessed 23/09/2020]</li> <li>CP McCarthy et al (2018), Left Ventricular Thrombus after acute MI. JAMA Cardiology. Available <a href="#">here</a> [Accessed 23/09/2020]</li> <li>UKMI (2012) Prescribing outlook, National Developments. Available <a href="#">here</a> (with sign in) [Accessed 23/09/2020]</li> <li>2023 European Society of Cardiology Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes- Clinical Practice Guidelines. Available <a href="#">here</a> (with sign in). [Accessed 16/04/2024]</li> <li>M Abdelnabi et al (2021) Comparative Study of Oral Anticoagulation in Left Ventricular Thrombi (No-LVT Trial). Journal of The American College of Cardiology</li> </ol> <p><b>March 2025 – References from evidence briefing</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2023 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes. European Heart Journal 2023 44, 3720-3826.</li> <li>Management of Patients at Risk for and With Left Ventricular Thrombus: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association Circulation 2022;146:e205–e223.</li> <li>Sayed A, Ghonim M, Ghonim M et al. Are direct oral anticoagulants preferable to warfarin for the treatment of left ventricular thrombi? A Bayesian meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. American Heart Journal Plus: Cardiology Research and Practice 2021 (12) 100066.</li> <li>Management of Patients at Risk for and With Left Ventricular Thrombus: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association Circulation 2022. Supplementary material.</li> <li>Gogos C, Anastasiou V, Papazoglou A et al. Direct Oral Anticoagulants Versus Vitamin K Antagonists for the Management of Left Ventricular Thrombus After Myocardial Infarction: A Meta-Analysis. Am J Cardiol . 2024 Dec 1:232:18-25</li> </ol>

#### NOTES:

- SEL IMOC recommendations and minutes are available publicly via the [website](#).
- This SEL IMOC recommendation has been made on the cost effectiveness, patient outcome and safety data available at the time. The recommendation will be subject to review if new data becomes available, costs are higher than expected or new NICE guidelines or technology appraisals are issued.
- Not to be used for commercial or marketing purposes. Strictly for use within the NHS.**